

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,952	12/14/2001	William Robert Newman	17,640	7377	
23556 75	23556 7590 03/23/2004			EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			GOODMAN	GOODMAN, CHARLES	
	NEENAH, WI 54956		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3724	15	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· •
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/016,952	NEWMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles Goodman	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply body within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	the timely filed a days will be considered timely. If on the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 L 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-14,22-31 and 33 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,15-21 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	s/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the properties of	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/03 12/29/03.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/016,952

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on December 29, 2003 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 11-14 and 22-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10. Moreover, claim 10 has also been withdrawn since the elected species does not include this feature, i.e. there is no separate "seal" in the elected Species.

Thus, claims 1-7, 15-21, and 32 remain for consideration on the merits. With regards to claims 8, 9 and 33, they have been withdrawn from consideration for the following reason.

3. Inventions of claims 8, 9, and 33 and the rest of the previously elected invention are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product simply being a plurality of separably joined wipes as claimed can be made by another materially different apparatus that does not require a cartridge, cover, nor the elongated dispensing passage.

Art Unit: 3724

Drawings

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the drawings in the last Office Action is noted. The objection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 15-21, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roos.

Roos discloses a dispenser comprising all the elements claimed including, inter alia, a cartridge (e.g. 1); a cover (2); and an elongated passage (e.g. 9). Fig. 1.

7. Regarding claims 8-9 referring to the work, they have not been given significant patentable weight, since it has been held that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim, *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969), the inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims, *In re Young*, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935), and the material or article worked on by an apparatus does not distinguish the apparatus from prior art which works on a different material or article if the apparatus otherwise is met by the reference, *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,952

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's reasons that Roos does not anticipate the claimed invention, this argument is traversed.

With respect to the self fastening aspect of claim 1, the mere fact that the Roos dispenser is hinged is not sufficient reason to ascertain that it is not self fastenable. The fact that the Roos dispenser is capable of remaining in a closed condition anticipates this alleged lacking feature, since this is within the scope of the limitation based upon a reasonably broad interpretation of the same. Moreover, since the cover of Roos is attached (via the hinge), it is also inherently removable.

Regarding the "interference" aspect of the claims, it appears that Roos includes this feature at least from the standpoint that Figs. 1-2 appear to show interference - note the lipped portion at 10 in the Figures.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP \$ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/016,952

Art Unit: 3724

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30

AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

cg // March 22, 2004

CHARLES GOODM.
PRIMARY EXAMIN'